

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No 1396 of 1986

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE Y.B.BHATT

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : YES
to see the judgements?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO
of the judgement?
 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO
of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution
of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

HEIRS OF GOPALDAS MOTICHAND VAYDA

Versus

PARSHOTAM B VAYDA

Appearance:

MR Y.S. MANKAD for Petitioner
MR CH VORA for Respondent

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE Y.B.BHATT

Date of decision: 28/04/2000

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Mr. Mankad, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner-defendant-tenant states that his client has instructed him to state that he has purchased the property which is the subject matter of the present revision, and that therefore no dispute now survives. Accordingly learned counsel for the petitioner states that this revision application does not require to be pressed on merits and he therefore seeks permission to withdraw the same. Permission granted. Revision stands disposed of as withdrawn. Rule is discharged with no order as to costs. Interim relief stands vacated.

ar